Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/899,178	SENTOH, MASAYASU
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Brian R. Gordon	1743
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) Brian R. Gordon.	(3)	
(2) Richard Gallagher 28,781.	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>12 April 2004</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: Final Rejection of claims 10 and 11.		
Claims discussed: 10 and 11		
Prior art documents discussed: none		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	e examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
<u></u>	. <u>.</u>	
PRM1		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner informed applicant's representative that upon the entrance of the after final amendment filed March 31, 2003, the rejections as directed to claims 10 and 11 would be maintained. The examiner suggested claims 10-11 be canceled in order to allow the remaining pending claims. Applicant's representative agreed to direct the suggestion to applicant for approval.

Jiil Warden
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 1700